

UNAPPROVED MINUTES OF THE MARCH 4, 2009 PLANNING COMMISSION
MEETING

FY 2010 Capital Improvements Program

Ms. Reidenbach stated that after a series of meetings to discuss and rank the Capital Improvement Program requests, the Policy Committee, in conjunction with planning staff, is forwarding its recommendation. She stated that the Committee separated out maintenance items so that they were not given priority rankings. This was done due to the fact the maintenance items do not seek to create new County assets but rather to maintain existing assets. Each project receives a numerical score from Planning staff based on an objective ranking system which places emphasis on comparison to the Comprehensive Plan and/or support of other County adopted plans. Ms. Reidenbach stated the Committee gave each project a numerical priority. Three new applications were received by the Committee and ten other applications had been previously approved for FY10 funding in the FY09 budget process. Ms. Reidenbach asked that the Planning Commission recommend approval of the Capital Improvement Program rankings to the Board of Supervisors.

Mr. Krapf opened the public hearing.

Mr. Aaron Small, of AES Consulting Engineers and Chairman of the Stormwater Advisory Committee for the County, thanked the Planning Commission for ranking the water quality request high. He stated there are numerous stormwater projects within the County that need funding.

Mr. Krapf closed the public hearing.

Ms. Kratter asked about the numerical ranking as opposed to the low, medium, high ranking that has been done in the past. This was mentioned due to the joint worksession that the Planning Commission had with the Board of Supervisors.

Mr. Krapf felt that the worksession did not end with any definitive guidelines from the Board of Supervisors. Since the Policy Committee already ranked the items 1-13, they thought they would try this format for this year.

Mr. Murphy stated there would be more discussions with the Policy Committee concerning these rankings since the CIP process changes are a work in progress. Hopefully there will be feedback from the Board of Supervisors before next year's rankings are done.

Mr. Henderson asked about the Warhill Community Gymnasium and the funding for FY09 and FY10. He thought it was important to mention that this was a budgeted item and then was deleted from FY09 budget. He felt this project was important to consider for funding in FY10 and an estimated amount should be included in the packet forwarded to the Board.

Mr. Krapf stated that in the staff report there are some specific recommendations, this project being one of them, and maybe this should be included in the recommendation to the

Board of Supervisors.

Ms. Reidenbach noted that she would include the dollar amount for the gymnasium with the information forwarded to the Board of Supervisors.

Mr. Fraley noted that this project was footnoted in the information that staff provided. He stated the Committee recommended that financing for the gymnasium be included in any bond offering for the new law enforcement building.

Mr. Poole thanked those who were on the Policy Committee for their hard work. He appreciated the qualifications in the report.

Mr. Fraley wanted to thank Ms. Reidenbach, Ms. Kate Sipes, and Mr. John McDonald for their work on this project.

Ms. Kratter moved to adopt the recommendation for the FY 2010 – FY2016 Capital Improvements Program.

Mr. Poole seconded the motion.

In a roll call the recommendation was approved. (6-0) AYE: Poole, Fraley, Kratter, Henderson, Peck, Krapf. (Absent – Billups)